

# $\sigma$ -GROUPS

BÉRANGER SEGUIN

Let  $p$  be a prime, let  $K$  be a field of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $\Gamma_K := \text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}|K)$ .

**Definition 1.** A  $\sigma$ -group (over  $K$ ) is a topological group  $\mathcal{G}$  equipped with a continuous group homomorphism  $\sigma: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  and with a continuous action of  $\Gamma_K$ , such that:

- the actions of  $\sigma$  and of  $\Gamma_K$  commute, i.e., for any  $\tau \in \Gamma_K$  and any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have  $\tau.\sigma(g) = \sigma(\tau.g)$
- any  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  which is fixed under  $\sigma$  is also invariant under the  $\Gamma_K$ -action.

A *morphism of  $\sigma$ -groups* is a continuous group homomorphism which is  $\sigma$ -equivariant and  $\Gamma_K$ -equivariant. An *exact sequence of  $\sigma$ -groups* is an exact sequence of groups in which all maps are morphisms of  $\sigma$ -groups. A  $\sigma$ -subgroup is a closed subgroup which is both  $\Gamma_K$ -invariant and  $\sigma$ -invariant.

**Definition 2.** The  $\sigma$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$  is *parametrizing* if the pointed sets  $H^1(\langle \sigma^d \rangle, \mathcal{G})$  for any  $d \geq 1$  and  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{G})$  for any closed subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_K$  (in non-abelian continuous group cohomology) are all trivial.

**Definition 3.** If  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $\sigma$ -group over  $K$ , we define:

- For any separable extension  $L|K$ , we let  $\mathcal{G}(L)$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$  consisting of elements which are invariant under  $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}|L) \subseteq \Gamma_K$ .
- For any  $d \geq 1$ , we let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$  be the subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$  consisting of elements which are invariant under  $\sigma^d$ , and we let  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) := \bigcup_{d \geq 1} \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d})$ .

Moreover, if  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , we define the *field of definition of  $g$* , denoted by  $K(g)$ , as being the subfield of  $K^{\text{sep}}$  fixed under  $\text{Stab}_{\Gamma_K}(g)$ .

Note that if we have a short exact sequence of  $\sigma$ -groups  $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 1$ , and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is parametrizing, then taking invariants yields short exact sequences of groups  $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(L) \rightarrow 1$  for any separable extension  $L|K$  (as  $H^1(\Gamma_L, \mathcal{A}) = \{1\}$ ) and  $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_{p^d}) \rightarrow 1$  (as  $H^1(\langle \sigma^d \rangle, \mathcal{A}) = \{1\}$ ).

**Lemma 4.** The condition that  $H^1(\langle \sigma^d \rangle, \mathcal{G})$  be trivial is equivalent to the surjectivity of the multiplicative Artin-Schreier map  $\wp_d: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ ,  $g \mapsto \sigma^d(g)g^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* A 1-cochain  $f: \langle \sigma^d \rangle \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is determined by the element  $x := f(\sigma^d) \in \mathcal{G}$ , namely we have  $f(\sigma^{kd}) = \sigma^{(k-1)d}(x)\sigma^{(k-2)d}(x) \cdots \sigma^d(x)x$ , and the condition that this map be a coboundary amounts to the existence of an element  $y \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $f(\sigma^k) = \sigma^{kd}(y)y^{-1}$ , i.e., such that  $\wp_d(y) = x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Assume that  $\wp_d: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is surjective (i.e., that  $H^1(\langle \sigma^d \rangle, \mathcal{G})$  is trivial). If  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$  is torsion, then  $\wp_d: \mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$  is surjective.

*Proof.* Let  $y \in \mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ , and fix  $r \geq 1$  such that  $\sigma^r(y) = y$ . Pick  $x \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\wp_d(x) = y$ , and let  $y' = \sigma^{rd}(x)x^{-1}$ . We have  $y' = \sigma^{(r-1)d}(y)\sigma^{(r-2)d}(y) \cdots \sigma^d(y)y$ , so  $\sigma^r(y') = y'$ . A simple induction on  $k$  shows that  $\sigma^{krd}(x) = (y')^k x$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$  is torsion, fix  $k \geq 1$  such that  $(y')^k = 1$ , so  $\sigma^{krd}(x) = x$ , so  $x \in \mathcal{G}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ .  $\square$

**Definition 6.** We denote by  $\mathbb{G}_a$  the  $\sigma$ -group  $K^{\text{sep}}$  equipped with its absolute Frobenius  $\sigma: x \mapsto x^p$  and its natural  $\Gamma_K$ -action. We say that a  $\sigma$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$  is *unipotent* if there exists a sequence of surjective morphisms of  $\sigma$ -groups  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}_2 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}_r = 1$  such that the kernel of  $\mathcal{G}_i \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}_{i+1}$  is central in  $\mathcal{G}_i$  and isomorphic to  $\mathbb{G}_a$ .

**Lemma 7.** Assume that we have a short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 1$  of  $\sigma$ -groups, with  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  parametrizing. Then,  $\mathcal{B}$  is parametrizing.

*Proof.* Letting  $\Gamma$  be either a closed subgroup of  $\Gamma_K$  or  $\langle \sigma^d \rangle$  for some  $d \geq 1$ , we have the cohomological exact sequence  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{C})$  with both ends trivial, implying that  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{B})$  is trivial too.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *Any unipotent  $\sigma$ -group is parametrizing.*

*Proof.* By induction, using Lemma 7, the claim reduces to showing that  $\mathbb{G}_a = K^{\text{sep}}$  is parametrizing. The triviality of  $H^1(\Gamma, K^{\text{sep}})$  for any closed subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_K$  comes from [Ser62, Chap. X, §1, Prop. 1], and the triviality of  $H^1(\langle \sigma^d \rangle, K^{\text{sep}})$ , which is equivalent to the surjectivity of  $\wp_d: x \mapsto x^{p^d} - x$  by Lemma 4, comes from the fact that for any  $y \in K^{\text{sep}}$ , the polynomial equation  $x^{p^d} - x = y$  is separable and hence has a solution  $x \in K^{\text{sep}}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a parametrizing  $\sigma$ -group, and let  $B(\mathcal{G}(K))$  be the set of  $\sigma$ -conjugacy classes of  $\mathcal{G}(K)$  (the  $\sigma$ -conjugacy action is defined by  $g.m = \sigma(g)mg^{-1}$ ). Then, we have a bijection  $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)) \simeq B(\mathcal{G}(K))$ .*

*Proof.* Consider an element  $[\rho] \in H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ . When seen as an element of  $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathcal{G})$ , it is trivial, which means that there exists  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\rho(\tau) = \gamma^{-1}\tau(\gamma)$  for all  $\tau \in \Gamma_K$  (uniquely defined up to left-multiplying by an element of  $\mathcal{G}(K)$ ). The fact that  $\rho$  takes values in  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  implies that  $\sigma(\gamma^{-1}\tau(\gamma)) = \gamma^{-1}\tau(\gamma)$ , i.e., that  $\sigma(\gamma)\gamma^{-1} = \tau(\sigma(\gamma)\gamma^{-1})$ , i.e., that  $\eta := \wp(\gamma) \in \mathcal{G}(K)$ . Taking into account the impact of our choices (of the representative  $\rho$  and of the element  $\tau$ ) on the element  $\eta$  yields the map  $H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{G}(K))$ ,  $[\rho] \mapsto [\eta]$ .

Conversely, if  $\eta \in \mathcal{G}(K)$ , then there is  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\eta = \wp(\gamma)$ , and then  $\tau \mapsto \gamma^{-1}\tau(\gamma)$  defines a continuous group homomorphism  $\Gamma_K \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Taking into account the effect of  $\sigma$ -conjugating  $\eta$  or picking another  $\wp$ -preimage of  $\eta$  (i.e., right-multiplying  $\gamma$  by an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ) yields the inverse map  $B(\mathcal{G}(K)) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_K, \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ .  $\square$

*Example 10.* For any  $n \geq 1$ , and for any field  $K$  of characteristic  $p$ , the  $\sigma$ -group  $\mathcal{G} := \text{GL}_n(K^{\text{sep}})$  equipped with its natural absolute Frobenius and  $\Gamma_K$ -action is parametrizing, with  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(K) = \text{GL}_n(K)$ , etc. (The same holds for the unit subgroup of any algebra of the form  $R \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} K^{\text{sep}}$ , where  $R$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebra, cf. [Ser62, Chap. X, §10, Exercise 2].)

For any  $n \geq 1$ , letting  $\mathcal{U}_n(F)$  denote the group of unipotent upper-triangular matrices of size  $n \times n$  over a field  $F$ , then  $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{U}_n(K^{\text{sep}})$  is a unipotent (hence parametrizing)  $\sigma$ -group with  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathcal{U}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(K) = \mathcal{U}_n(K)$ , etc.

Assume that  $K$  is perfect. For any finite  $p$ -group  $G$  of nilpotency class  $< p$  (e.g., abelian), corresponding to some finite Lie  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  via the Lazard correspondence, the  $\sigma$ -group  $\mathcal{G} := (\mathfrak{g} \otimes W(K^{\text{sep}}), \circ)$  equipped with its natural absolute Frobenius and  $\Gamma_K$ -action is unipotent with  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p) = G$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(K) = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes W(K), \circ)$ , etc.<sup>1</sup>

**Definition 11.** A *valued  $\sigma$ -group*  $(\mathcal{G}, v)$  is a  $\sigma$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$  equipped with a valuation  $v: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that  $v(xy) \geq \min(v(x), v(y))$  with equality whenever  $v(x) \neq v(y)$ ,  $v(\sigma(x)) = pv(x)$ , and  $v(x) = +\infty \Leftrightarrow x = 1$ . A morphism  $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  of valued  $\sigma$ -groups is a morphism of  $\sigma$ -groups such that for any  $x \in \text{im } f$ , we have  $v(x) = \max_{y \in f^{-1}(x)} v(y)$ . In particular,  $v(f(y)) \geq v(y)$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{G}$ , and if  $f$  is injective this is an equality. If we have a fixed valuation on  $K^{\text{sep}} = \mathbb{G}_a$ , a *unipotent valued  $\sigma$ -group* is a unipotent  $\sigma$ -group for which we can make sure that all the maps in the short exact sequences  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{i+1} \rightarrow 1$  are morphisms of valued  $\sigma$ -groups.

If  $(\mathcal{G}, v)$  is a valued  $\sigma$ -group, we let  $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O})$  be the subgroup of elements with  $v(x) \geq 0$ . Note that  $\wp(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O})) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O})$ , and  $\wp^{-1}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O})) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O})$ . More precisely,  $v(\wp(x)) = v(x)$  if  $v(x) > 0$ ,  $v(\wp(x)) \geq 0$  if  $v(x) = 0$ , and  $v(\wp(x)) = p \cdot v(x)$  if  $v(x) < 0$ .

## REFERENCES

- [Hug51] N. J. S. Hughes. The use of bilinear mappings in the classification of groups of class 2. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 2:742–747, 1951. doi:10.2307/2032075.
- [Ser62] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Corps Locaux*. Hermann, Paris, 1962.

<sup>1</sup>In nilpotency class 2, this is possible even in characteristic 2 by tensoring the  $(\mathbb{Z}_p$ -bilinear, alternating, surjective, nondegenerate) commutator bracket  $G/Z(G)^2 \rightarrow [G, G]$  with  $W(K)$ , and using the result of [Hug51]. However, it seems impossible for  $D_{16}$  (nilpotency class 3) in characteristic 2, cf. <https://mathoverflow.net/q/498286>.